arXiv:1612.08221v1 [math.DS] 24 Dec 2016

CHAOS AND PERIODICITY ON STAR GRAPHS

JORGE L. GUERRERO AND DAVID MILOVICH

Abstract. For a continuous self-map of a star graph to be Li-Yorke chaotic and to have full periodicity, we prove some new sufficient conditions on the orbit of the center.

1. Introduction and main results

By the *n-od*, we mean a topological space X_n that is homeomorphic to the star graph of order n, also known as the *n-star* S_n. The *triod* is X_3, which is also known as the simple dendrite or as Y. The *center* of X_n is its vertex of order n, which we denote by o. A *proper branch* of X_n is a connected component of $X_n \setminus \{o\}$; fix an enumeration $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ of these proper branches. A *branch* of X_n is the closure of a proper branch.

The original motivation for our results was to find a new generalization to the triod of Li and Yorke's "Period three implies chaos" for the interval, and to avoid the uninteresting case of maps $f\colon X_3 \to X_3$ of the form $\iota \circ g \circ r$ where r is a retraction of X_3 to $[0,1]$, ι is its unique right inverse, and $g\colon [0,1] \to [0,1]$. As a special case of Corollary 1 below, we meet this goal: if $f\colon X_3 \to X_3$ and the orbit of o intersects each proper branch exactly once, then f is Li-Yorke chaotic and has full periodicity. (We assume all maps are continuous.)

Theorem 1. *If $f\colon X_n \to X_n$ and $f^3(o)$ is not on the same branch as $f(o)$, then f has points of all periods.*

Theorem 2. *If $f\colon X_n \to X_n$ and $f^3(o)$ is not on the same branch as $f(o)$, then f scrambles an uncountable set.*

Here $S \subset X_n$ is *scrambled* [3] by $f\colon X_n \to X_n$ if, for all distinct $p, q \in S$,

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} d(f^i(p), f^i(q)) = 0 < \limsup_{i\to\infty} d(f^i(p), f^i(q))$$

where d is a metric compatible with the topology of X_n. Because X_n is compact, whether S is scrambled or not does not depend on d: the identity map from (X_n, d_1) to (X_n, d_2) is uniformly continuous for all pairs (d_1, d_2) of compatible metrics. $f\colon X_n \to X_n$ is called *Li-Yorke* chaotic if it scrambles an uncountable set.

Theorems 1 and 2 are proved in section 3. The proof of Theorem 2 mainly uses ideas from Li and Yorke's scrambled set construction [3]. The proof of Theorem 1 leans more heavily on techniques involving "basic intervals" similar to Baldwin's [2].

Corollary 1. *If $n \geq 2$, $f\colon X_n \to X_n$, and the orbit of o has size $n+1$ and intersects every proper branch, then f is Li-Yorke chaotic and has full periodicity.*

Date: December 28, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37E25, 37E15.
Key words and phrases. Li-Yorke, chaotic, period, Šarkovskiĭ, triod, n-od, n-star, star graph.

For comparison, Alsedà and Moreno [1] proved that, for an arbitrary $f\colon X_3 \to X_3$, if the periodicity of f does not contain $\{2,3,4,5,7\}$, then f may not have full periodicity. (By periodicity of f, we mean the set of all f-periods of points in X_3.) If $n = 3$ in Corollary 1, then period 4 for an "interesting" orbit of the center implies full periodicty. In section 2, we compare Corollary 1 to Baldwin's characterizations of periodicity sets of self maps of X_n.

In section 4, we show that "$n+2$" can replace "$n+1$" in Corollary 1 at the cost of assuming $n \geq 3$ and weakening "full periodicity" to "all periods except 3." We show by example that period 3 can indeed be avoided. We also give an example showing that all odd periods ≥ 3 can be avoided if "$n+3$" replaces "$n+1$."

2. Relation to Baldwin's characterization

Baldwin [2] defines, given a topological space X, a preorder (*i.e.*, transitive and reflexive relation) $\leq_X$ of $\mathbb{N}$ by $p \leq_X q$ iff every $f\colon X \to X$ with a point of period q also has a point of period p. When X is the n-od, this preorder is also a partial order (*i.e.*, is antisymmetric) and is characterized in [2] by $\leq_{X_n} = \bigcap_{t \leq n} \trianglelefteq_t$ where each $\trianglelefteq_t$ is a partial ordering defined below. Baldwin actually proves something stronger, that if $f\colon X_n \to X_n$, then the set of f-periods is a finite union of sets each a $\trianglelefteq_t$-initial segment for some $t \leq n$.

First, $\trianglelefteq_1$ is the Šarkovskiĭ linear ordering defined by $2^i(2a+1) \trianglelefteq_1 2^j(2b+1)$ iff

- $a = 0 = b$ and $i \leq j$,
- $a = 0 < b$,
- $0 < a, b$ and $i > j$, or
- $0 < b < a$ and $i = j$,

for all $a, b, i, j \geq 0$. ($(\mathbb{N}, \trianglelefteq_1)$ has order type $\omega + (\omega^*)^2$.) Second, given $n > 1$ and $m, k \geq 1$:

$$m \trianglelefteq_n k \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{case } k = 1: & m = 1 \\ \text{case } n \mid k: & m = 1 \text{ or } n \mid m \text{ and } m/n \trianglelefteq_1 k/n \\ \text{case } n \nmid k \neq 1: & m \in \{1, k\} \cup \{ik + jn : i \geq 0 \text{ and } j \geq 1\} \end{cases}$$

($(\mathbb{N}, \trianglelefteq_n)$ is a disjoint union of n chains, one chain of type $\omega + (\omega^*)^2$ below $n-1$ chains of type ω^*.)

Baldwin proves a result related to Corollary 1. To state it, we must first give his classification of the finite orbits of a given $f\colon X_n \to X_n$ into *types*. If o is in a finite orbit O then O has type 1. (Thus, any f satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1 has an orbit of type 1.) On the other hand, if o is not in O, then O has type p for each period p of the partial map $f_O\colon [n] \to [n]$ where $f_O(i) = j$ if $O \cap \beta_i$ is nonempty and f maps to β_j the point in $O \cap \beta_i$ closest to o. Baldwin proved that if f has an orbit of size k that has type p, then, for each $m \trianglelefteq_p k$, f has a point of period m. Since, for example, $x \trianglelefteq_1 4 \Leftrightarrow x \in \{1, 2, 4\}$, the full periodicity of case $n = 3$ of Corollary 1 is not a corollary of Baldwin's type-based analysis.

3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and 2

Definition 1. Given $x, y \in X_n$, let the closed interval $[x, y]$ denote the unique arc with endpoints x and y. Define open and half-open intervals as closed intervals with appropriate points removed. Given arcs I, J of X_n and $g\colon X_n \to X_n$, we say that I *g-covers* J and write $I \supset_g J$ if $g(I) \supset J$.

The next two propositions are fundamental properties of star graphs that we will use without comment.

Proposition 1. *If $a, b \in X_n$ and $g\colon X_n \to X_n$, then $[a,b] \supset_g [g(a), g(b)]$.*

Proof. $g([a,b])$ is connected and $[g(a), g(b)]$ is the smallest connected superset of $\{g(a), g(b)\}$. □

Definition 2. Given an arc $I \subset X_n$, a *compatible ordering* of I is a linear ordering of I such that the order topology on I equals the subspace topology inherited from X_n.

Order each branch $\overline{\beta_i}$ of X_n by the unique compatible ordering $\leq_i$ such that $o = \min(\overline{\beta_i})$. We will omit the subscript of $\leq_i$ when safe to do so.

Proposition 2. *If $a, b, c \in X_n$ and $o \notin (a,b)$, then $x \in [y,z]$ for some permutation x, y, z of a, b, c.*

Proof. The points a and b must be on the same branch, and if c is also on that branch, then the proposition is clearly true. If c is not in the same branch as a and b, then, letting $\{x \leq y\} = \{a, b\}$, we have $[c, y] \supset [o, y] \supset [x, y]$. □

Definition 3. Given $g\colon X_n \to X_n$, by a *g-cascade* we mean a finite or infinite sequence of arcs $I_0, I_1, I_2, \ldots$ such that for all $i \geq 1$ we have $I_{i-1} \supset_g I_i$ and $o \notin I_i^\circ$ where Y° denotes the interior of Y. By a *g-loop* we mean a g-cascade $I_0, \ldots, I_m$ such that $I_m \supset I_0$.

Lemma 1. *If $I_0, I_1, I_2, \ldots$ is a g-cascade, then there is a descending chain of arcs $I_0 = Q_0 \supset Q_1 \supset Q_2 \supset \cdots$ such that $g^i(Q_i) = I_i$ for all i.*

Proof. Construct $Q_0, Q_1, \ldots, Q_m, \ldots$ by recursion on m. Given Q_{m-1}, let $h = g^m$ and observe that $h(Q_{m-1}) = g(I_{m-1}) \supset I_m$. Choose $Q_m = [a,b]$ minimal among the subarcs of Q_{m-1} that h-cover I_m. Then $I_m = [h(a), h(b)]$ because if $I_m = [h(c), h(d)]$ then $[c,d]$ is not a proper subinterval of $[a,b]$. Moreover, if $z \in (a,b)$ and $h(z) \notin I_m$, then, since $o \notin I_m^\circ$, there is a permutation x, y of a, b such that $h(x) \in [h(y), h(z))$, which implies there is $w \in [y,z)$ such that $I_m = [h(y), h(w)]$ in contradiction with the minimality of Q_m. Thus, $h(Q_m) = I_m$. □

Lemma 2. *If $I_0, \ldots, I_m$ is a g-loop then for some $x \in I_0$ we have $g^m(x) = x$ and $g^i(x) \in I_i$ for all i.*

Proof. Let $Q_0, \ldots, Q_m$ be as in Lemma 1. Then $g^m(Q_m) = I_m \supset I_0 \supset Q_m$. Since I_m and Q_m are arcs, we may assume that $I_m = [0,1]$ and $Q_m = [a,b] \subset [0,1]$. Applying the Intermediate Value Theorem, g^m has a fixed point x in Q_m. Finally, $g^i(x) \in g^i(Q_m) \subset g^i(Q_i) = I_i$. □

We prove Lemma 3 below using the well-known (see [2] for citations) technique of analyzing the restriction of $\supset_g$ to pairs of minimal elements of the set of intervals with endpoints in a fixed g-orbit.

Definition 4. Given $g\colon X_n \to X_n$, a *g-basic interval* is a minimal element of the set of closed intervals of the form $[a,b]$ where a and b are distinct elements of the g-orbit of o.

In [2], Baldwin defines "basic intervals" as above but assumes $g(o) = o$ and replaces the orbit of o with the union of $\{o\}$ and another fixed finite orbit.

Lemma 3. *If $g\colon X_n \to X_n$, $m \geq 2$, and $B_{-1}, B_0, B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m$ is a g-cascade of g-basic intervals such that $B_{-1} = B_0 = B_m$ and $B_i \neq B_j$ for all $\{i < j\} \subset \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, then, for all $p \geq m$, g has a point of period p.*

Proof. Fix $p \geq m$ such that p is not the period of o. Every sequence of the form $B_0, B_0, B_0, \ldots, B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_m$ is a g-loop. Therefore, by Lemma 2, there exists $x \in B_0$ such that $g^p(x) = x$, $g^i(x) \in B_0$ for all $i \in [0, p-m]$, and $g^i(x) \in B_{i+m-p}$ for all $i \in [p-m, p]$. Let q be the period of x.

Seeking a contradiction, suppose that $q < p$. If $m-1 \leq q < p$, then $g^{p-1}(x)$ is in the orbit of o because $B_{m-1} \ni g^{p-1}(x) = g^{p-q-1}(x) \in B_0$; if $q < m$, then $g^{p-q}(x)$ is in the orbit of o because $B_{m-q} \ni g^{p-q}(x) = g^p(x) \in B_0$. Therefore, x and o have the same orbit. Since $B_0 \neq B_1$, the orbit of o must have at least 3 points. Therefore, x, $g(x)$, and $g^2(x)$ are 3 distinct points in the orbit of o and so cannot all be endpoints of B_0. Therefore, $p - m \leq 1$ and, hence, $q \leq m$.

For each basic interval I, $\max(I)$ is well-defined and not o. Moreover, $\max(I) \neq \max(J)$ for all distinct basic intervals I and J. Therefore, $m \leq q-1$, in contradiction with $q \leq m$. □

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $g\colon X_n \to X_n$, $u, v \in X_n$, $\leq$ is a compatible ordering of $[g(u), g(v)]$ such that $g(v) < u < v \leq g(u)$, and $B_0, \ldots B_p$ is a g-loop such that $B_0 = [u, v]$, $B_1 \subset [g(v), u]$, and B_{p-1} is disjoint from (u, v). Then g is Li-Yorke chaotic.*

Proof. Inductively construct an infinite sequence $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots$ as follows. Let $x_0 = v$ and choose $x_1 \in [u, v)$ such that $g(x_1) = x_0$. Observe that $x_1 \in (g(x_0), g(x_1))$. Inductively assume we have $m > 0$, $g(x_m) = x_{m-1}$, and $x_m \in (g(x_{m-1}), g(x_m))$. Choose $x_{m+1} \in (x_{m-1}, x_m)$ such that $g(x_{m+1}) = x_m$. Now we have $x_{m+1} \in (x_{m-1}, x_m) = (g(x_m), g(x_{m+1}))$; hence, the inductive hypotheses have been preserved. This completes the construction of $\vec{x}$. Next, observe that $x_1 < x_0$ and $x_{m+1} \in (x_{m-1}, x_m)$ for all $m \geq 1$, so $x_1 < x_3 < x_5 < \cdots < x_4 < x_2 < x_0$. Let $a = \lim x_{2i+1}$ and $b = \lim x_{2i}$; observe that $g(a) = b$ and $g(b) = a$. Let $A_{2i+1} = [x_{2i+1}, a]$ and $A_{2i} = [b, x_{2i}]$. Since $g(a) = b < x_{2i} = g(x_{2i+1})$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $g(x_{2i}) = x_{2i-1} < x_{2i+1} < a = g(b)$ for all $i \geq 1$, we have $A_{j+1} \supset_g A_j$ for all $j \geq 0$.

We may assume p is even, for we may replace $B_0, \ldots, B_p$ with $B_0, \ldots B_p, B_0, \ldots B_p$ without loss. For each real $r \in [0, 1]$, choose $E_r \subset \mathbb{N}$ with asymptotic density r and define an infinite sequence $I_r(0), I_r(1), I_r(2), \ldots$ as the concatenation of the infinite sequence of finite sequences $\vec{C}_1, \vec{D}_1, \vec{C}_2, \vec{D}_2, \vec{C}_3, \vec{D}_3, \ldots$ where

$$\vec{C}_k = A_{2k}, A_{2k-1}, A_{2k-2}, \ldots, A_0$$

$$\vec{D}_k = \begin{cases} A_{p-1}, A_{p-2}, A_{p-3}, \ldots, A_1 & \text{if } k \in E_r \\ B_1, B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_{p-1} & \text{if } k \notin E_r. \end{cases}$$

This sequence is a g-cascade because:

- $A_{j+1} \supset_g A_j$ for all $j \geq 0$.
- $B_j \supset_g B_{j+1}$ for all $j < p$.
- $A_0 = [b, v] \supset_g [g(v), a] \supset B_1 \cup A_{p-1}$.
- $A_1 \supset_g A_0 \supset A_{2k}$.
- $B_{p-1} \supset_g [u, v] \supset A_{2k}$.

Applying Lemma 1 (and compactness), choose $y_r \in I_r(0)$ such that $g^i(y_r) \in I_r(i)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

Define a compatible metric d on X_n by requiring each branch to be isometric to $[0,1]$ and requiring $d(x,y) = d(x,o) + d(o,y)$ if x and y are on different branches. Since $o \notin (u,v)$, we have $d(x,y) \geq \min_{w\in\{u,v\}} d(x,w)$ for all $x \in (u,v)$ and $y \notin (u,v)$. Let $\delta = \min\{d(u,b), d(b,v)\}$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $d(x,y) < \varepsilon$ implies $d(g(x),g(y)) < \delta/2$ for all $x \in X_n$ and $y \in \{u,v\}$.

Claim. Given $0 \leq r < s \leq 1$, $d(g^i(y_r), g^i(y_s)) \geq \varepsilon$ infinitely often.

Proof. Let $H = \{i : I_r(i) = B_{p-1} \text{ and } I_s(i) = A_1\}$, which is infinite. For each $i \in H$, we have $g^{i+1}(y_s) \in A_{2k}$ where k is such that $i+1$ is the sum of the lengths of $\vec{C}_1, \vec{D}_1, \ldots, \vec{C}_{k-1}, \vec{D}_{k-1}$. Hence, for all sufficiently large $i \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(b, g^{i+1}(y_s)) \leq \delta/2 &\Rightarrow \forall w \in \{u,v\}\ \ d(g^{i+1}(y_s), w) \geq \delta/2 \\ &\Rightarrow \forall z \in \{g(v), g(u)\}\ \ d(g^{i+1}(y_s), z) \geq \delta/2 \\ &\Rightarrow \forall w \in \{v,u\}\ \ d(g^i(y_s), w) \geq \varepsilon \\ &\Rightarrow d(g^i(y_s), g^i(y_r)) \geq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, since $\operatorname{diam}(A_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$,

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} d(g^i(y_r), g^i(y_s)) = 0$$

for all $r, s \in [0,1]$. □

Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. Let $n \geq 2$, $f\colon X_n \to X_n$, $f(o) \in \beta_1$, and $f^3(o) \notin \overline{\beta_1}$. There are three cases:

(1) $f^2(o) \notin \overline{\beta_1}$: let $u = o$, $v = f(o)$, and $B = [f^2(o), o]$.
(2) $o <_1 f(o) <_1 f^2(o)$: let $u = f(o)$, $v = f^2(o)$, and $B = [o, f(o)]$.
(3) $o <_1 f^2(o) <_1 f(o)$: let $u = f^2(o)$, $v = o$, and $B = [f(o), f^2(o)]$.

In all three cases, let $A = [u,v]$ and verify that A and B are f-basic intervals, that $A \supset_f A \supset_f B \supset_f A$, that $B \subset [f(v), u]$, and that $[f(u), f(v)]$ has a compatible ordering such that $f(v) < u < v \leq f(u)$. By Lemmas 3 and 4, f has points of all periods ≥ 2 and is Li-Yorke chaotic. Since X_n is a dendroid, f also has a fixed point. □

4. Orbits of o of size $\geq n+2$

Example 1. There exists $f\colon X_3 \to X_3$ such that o has period 5 and intersects every proper branch, but f lacks period 3.

Proof. Let $x_2 = \max(\beta_2)$, $x_4 = \max(\beta_3)$, and $o = x_0 < x_1 < x_3 = \max(\beta_1)$. (See the diagram below.) Declare $f(x_i) = x_j$ where $j = i+1 \mod 5$. For convenience, we will write simply i for x_i.



Then, for each minimal arc of the form $[i,j]$, extend f to include a homeomorphism from $[i,j]$ to $[f(i), f(j)]$. To show that f does not have period 3, we again use the method of analyzing the digraph G consisting of the restriction of $\supset_f$ to pairs

of f-basic intervals. G is easily computed (see the diagram below), and its only 3-cycle is

$$[0,1] \supset_f [0,1] \supset_f [0,1] \supset_f [0,1].$$



Seeking a contradiction, suppose $y \in X_3$ has period 3. Since the orbit of y cannot intersect that of o, there exist I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3 in G such that $f^i(y) \in I_i^\circ$ for all $i \leq 3$. Moreover, I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3 must be an f-loop. Therefore, $0 < f^i(y) < 1$ for all i. But f is order-reversing on $D = [0,1] \cap f^{-1}[0,1]$, so there are no orbits of size 3 in $[0,1]$. □

Theorem 3. *If $n \geq 3$, $f\colon X_n \to X_n$, and the orbit of o has size $n+2$ and intersects every proper branch, then f is Li-Yorke chaotic and has all periods except possibly 3.*

Proof. We may assume $f(o) \in \beta_1$. By Theorem 1, we may assume also $f^3(o) \in \beta_1$. Therefore, the orbit of o intersects β_1 at exactly $f(o)$ and $f^3(o)$ and intersects each other proper branch at exactly one point. In particular, $f^5(o) \notin \beta_1$ and we may assume that $f^2(o) \in \beta_2$ and $f^4(o) \in \beta_3$. There are two cases:

(1) $o <_1 f^3(o) <_1 f(o)$: let $u = o$, $v = f^3(o)$ and $B_1 = [o, f^4(o)]$.
(2) $o <_1 f(o) <_1 f^3(o)$: let $u = o$, $v = f(o)$, $B_1 = [o, f^2(o)]$, $B_2 = [f(o), f^3(o)]$, and $B_3 = [o, f^4(o)]$.

In both cases, let $A = [u, v]$. In Case 1, $A \supset_f A \supset_f B_1 \supset_f A$. In Case 2, $A \supset_f A \supset_f B_1 \supset_f B_2 \supset_f B_3 \supset_f A$. Therefore, by Lemma 3, f has points of all periods ≥ 2 in Case 1 and points of all periods ≥ 4 in Case 2. Since X_n is a dendroid, f also has a fixed point. Moreover, in Case 2, $B_1 \supset_f B_2 \supset_f B_1$, which, by Lemma 2, implies $x \in B_1$ such that $f(x) \in B_2$ and $f^2(x) = x$. Since B_1 and B_2 are disjoint, any such x has period 2.

In both Case 1 and Case 2, $B_1 = [f(v), u]$ and $[f(u), f(v)]$ has a compatible ordering such that $f(v) < u < v \leq f(u)$. By Lemma 4, f is Li-Yorke chaotic. □

Example 2. There exists Li-Yorke chaotic $f\colon X_3 \to X_3$ such that o has period 6 and intersects every proper branch but the periodicity of f is $\{1\} \cup 2\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $x_2 = \max(\beta_2)$, $x_4 = \max(\beta_3)$, and $o = x_0 < x_1 < x_3 < x_5 = \max(\beta_1)$. (See the diagram below.) Declare $f(x_i) = x_j$ where $j = i + 1 \mod 5$. For convenience, we will write simply i for x_i.



Then, for each minimal arc of the form $[i, j]$, extend f to include a homeomorphism from $[i, j]$ to $[f(i), f(j)]$. Like in our previous example, to show that a given $y \in X_3$ does not have a given odd period $p \geq 3$, we analyze the digraph G:



Since the orbit of y cannot intersect that of o, there is an f-loop $I_0, \ldots, I_p$ of elements of G such that $f^i(y) \in I_i^\circ$ for all $i \leq p$. But all odd cycles of G are of

the form $[0,1],\ldots,[0,1]$, so $0 < f^i(y) < 1$ for all i. But f is order-reversing on $D = [0,1] \cap f^{-1}[0,1]$, so there are no odd orbits in $[0,1]$ except fixed points.

It now suffices to show that $g = f^2$ is Li-Yorke chaotic and has full periodicity. By Lemmas 3 and 4, this is indeed the case: letting $u = 0$, $v = 2$, $A = [u,v]$, and $B = [4,0]$, we have $A \supset_g A \supset_g B \supset_g A$, $B = [g(v),u]$ and $g(u) = v$. □

5. Open problems

We should not be surprised that $\leq_{X_m}$ is weaker than $\leq_{X_n}$ for $m \leq n$ because, choosing a retraction $r\colon X_n \to X_m$ and letting $\iota\colon X_m \to X_n$ be its unique right inverse, we have, for all $g\colon X_m \to X_m$ and $p \geq 0$, that $\iota \circ g \circ r\colon X_n \to X_n$ and $(\iota \circ g \circ r)^p = \iota \circ g^p \circ r$. On the other hand, it is natural to wonder if other interesting weakenings $\leq_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\leq_{X_n}$ can be found by restricting to various sets $\mathcal{F}$ of maps $f\colon X_n \to X_n$ not of the form $\iota \circ g \circ r$ above. (To be precise, $p \leq_{\mathcal{F}} q$ means that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with a point of period q also has a point of period p.)

An obvious candidate for $\mathcal{F}$ is the set $\mathcal{T}_n$ of $f\colon X_n \to X_n$ with an orbit intersecting every proper branch.

Problem 1. Characterize $\leq_{\mathcal{T}_n}$.

Problem 2. If $f \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is witnessed by the orbit of o intersecting every proper branch, then what does the period of o imply about the set of all periods of f?

Theorem 1 can be interpreted as a modest partial solution to these problems. Moreover, conjectured answers to the second problem can be tested computationally if we limit the size of the orbit of f to, say, at most 10. Then an exhaustive computer search for when the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied by an interand of f becomes quite feasible. It would also then be feasible to automate a search for absent digraph cycle lengths like in the examples of section 4. In fact, the f of Example 2 is in one of only 24 classes of $f \in \mathcal{T}_3$ where a 6-point orbit of o hits every branch yet Theorem 1 does not apply. Manual analysis of 24 digraphs shows that the periodicity is always cofinite or $\{1\} \cup 2\mathbb{N}$.

We are also interested in proving Li-Yorke chaos from larger orbits of o.

Problem 3. If $f \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is witnessed by the orbit of o intersecting every proper branch, and the orbit of o has cardinality in $[n+3,\infty)$, then is f Li-Yorke chaotic?

For small orbit sizes, we can exhaustively search for small iterands g of f and g-loops $B_0,\ldots,B_p$ that satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4 where the endpoints of $B_0,\ldots,B_p$ come from the orbit of f. For orbits of o size 6 in X_3 that hit every branch, there are only 24 cases not covered by Theorem 2. Manual analysis reveals that Lemma 4 applies to f or to f^2 in every case.

References

[1] Ll. Alsedà; J. M. Moreno. Linear Orderings and the Full Periodicity Kernel for the n-Star. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **180:2** (1993), 599–616.

[2] S. Baldwin. An extension of Šarkovskiĭ's Theorem to the n-od. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* **11:2** (1991), 249–271.

[3] T. Li; J. Yorke. Period Three Implies Chaos. *The American Mathematical Monthly* **82:10** (1975), 985–992.

E-mail address: agntp123@dusty.tamiu.edu

Dept. of Mathematics and Physics, Texas A&M International University, 5201 University Blvd., Laredo, TX 78041, USA

E-mail address: david.milovich@tamiu.edu
URL: http://dkmj.org/academic

Dept. of Mathematics and Physics, Texas A&M International University, 5201 University Blvd., Laredo, TX 78041, USA